

COURT
TEXAS

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

FILED

DEC 9 2019

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

By AM Deputy

2:19-CV-144-Z

V.

§ § § § §

Respondent.


Considering the record in this case and pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22(b), Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), the Court denies a certificate of appealability because Petitioner has failed to make “a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” *Slack v. McDaniel*,

529 U.S. 473, 483 (2000). The Court ADOPTS and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's findings, conclusions, and recommendation filed in this case in support of its finding that Petitioner has failed to show (1) that reasonable jurists would find this Court's "assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong," or (2) that reasonable jurists would find "it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right" and "debatable whether [this Court] was correct in its procedural ruling." *Id.* at 484.

If Petitioner files a notice of appeal, he may proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal. *See* Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24(a)(3).

SO ORDERED.

December 9, 2019.


MATTHEW J. KACSMARYK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE